## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT Case 3:14-cr-00298-MFOR COUNT Page 1 of 1 Page 1 DALLAS DIVISION Page 1 of 1 Page 1 DALLAS DIVISION

UNITE	ED STATES OF AMERICA	)	
VS.		)	CASE NO.: 3:14-CR-298-M (23)
JACKIE RANDALL-KING,  Defendant.		)	
			OMMENDATION OF THE ICERNING PLEA OF GUILTY
Magist 28 U.S Magist Court a	nt of the defendant, and the Report and trate Judge, and no objections thereto hat. C. § 636(b)(1), the undersigned District trate Judge concerning the Plea of Guilty accepts the plea of guilty, and JACKII	Recommendation (aving been filed with Judge is of the oping is correct, and it is E RANDALL-KIN U.S.C. § 371, that is	e Notice Regarding Entry of a Plea of Guilty, the Concerning Plea of Guilty of the United States hin fourteen days of service in accordance with nion that the Report and Recommendation of the hereby accepted by the Court. Accordingly, the G is hereby adjudged guilty of Count 1 of the s, Conspiracy to Defraud the United States. ng order.
	The defendant is ordered to remain in	custody.	
⊠	The Court adopts the findings of the United States Magistrate Judge by clear and convincing evidence that the defendant is not likely to flee or pose a danger to any other person or the community if released and should therefore be released under § 3142(b) or (c).		
	Upon motion, this matter shall be set for hearing before the United States Magistrate Judge who set the conditions of release for determination, by clear and convincing evidence, of whether the defendant is likely to flee or pose a danger to any other person or the community if released under § 3142(b) or (c).		
	The defendant is ordered detained pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3143(a)(2). The defendant shall self-surrender to the United States Marshal no later than		
	<ul> <li>□ There is a substantial likelihoo</li> <li>□ The Government has recommed</li> <li>□ This matter shall be set for conditions of release for determined</li> </ul>	od that a motion for ended that no senter hearing before the nination, by clear an	C. § 3143(a)(2) because the Court finds acquittal or new trial will be granted, or nee of imprisonment be imposed, and United States Magistrate Judge who set the d convincing evidence, of whether the defendant on or the community if released under § 3142(b)
	a motion alleging that there are excedetained under § 3143(a)(2). This mat who set the conditions of release for exceptional circumstances under § 314	ter shall be set for he determination of w 45(c) why the defendant of the	C. § 3143(a)(2) because the defendant has filed the sunder § 3145(c) why he/she should not be the the United States Magistrate Judge the hether it has been clearly shown that there are dant should not be detained under § 3143(a)(2), idence that the defendant is likely to flee or pose a under § 3142(b) or (c).

SIGNED this 19<sup>th</sup> day of February, 2016.

BARBARA M. G.LYNN

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS